

1. Item 4102 in pricing schedule states 150mm thick sub-base material (type 2.3) while the design drawings (R012-23-24-TC-01) indicates 250mm thick sub-base material. Could you please confirm the sub-base material thickness.
The drawing is correct and the subbase thickness should be 250mm. The quantity is correct in the Pricing Schedule, but the label is incorrect. This will be updated in the revised Pricing Schedule.
2. Scope of work on RFT (page 78) state the seal to be 8m wide whereas the design drawing (R012-23-24-TC-01) indicates 6.5m wide seal with shoulders unsealed. The quantity on the pricing schedule seems to match up with a 6.5m wide seal.
The shoulders are to be unsealed, with the seal width being 6.5m. This is to ensure consistency with the previous section completed by Council.
3. The design drawing (R012-23-24-TC-01) indicate the works for the access road to the property however the pricing schedule does not have any line item. Could you please confirm the access to the properties are part of the scope. If yes, could you please provide details of any RC Pipes required. The typical drawing (CMDG-R-040) indicates RC Pipes as directed and there is not any indication of any RC Pipes on the access way on the site plan.
Item 32505 has been added to the revised Pricing Schedule which should include all earthworks for all private entrances, but does not include pavement and surfacing. These are included in the relevant pavement and surfacing items. Items have also been added to the revised Pricing Schedule for the pipes where they are required to be replaced. Please note there are entrances at Ch 39775 and 40075 where the existing structures will remain in place. One is a 450x300 RCBC and the other a 200mm Poly pipe.
4. There is no line item for profiling of existing pavement as indicated in drawing R012-23-24-TC-01. Could you please if it is to be included in other line items.
The items intended to cover this requirement are as follows:
 - a. 40210 which is the quantity of imported gravel between the existing pavement and underside of the new pavement. This process is expected to include some shaping of the new surface prior to stabilisation (including minor cutting).
 - b. 40209 which is the pulverisation of this reshaped surface prior to stabilisation.
5. Could you please confirm item 4202P in the pricing schedule accounts for the additional fill required over the existing pavement.
As per above response, the material required to profile/reshape the existing pavement prior to stabilisation is included in Item 40210.
6. The typical cross-section in drawing (R012-23-24-TC-01) states stabilization of pavement using 1.5% cement stabilizer. Could you please confirm if it is 1.5% by weight or by volume. Also, could you please confirm cement stabilization is only required on the overlay area of existing pavement.
This is to be 1.5% per volume. The quantity is nominated in the Pricing Schedule.
7. Scope of work in technical specification Clause 3 states to consider Cultural Heritage Inspections & cotton and grain delivery to neighboring businesses. Could you please what needs to be allowed under this provision. **The grain season occurs towards mid year (approximately between May and August) during this time the delivery trucks travel frequently along Theodore Moura Road to the Cotton Gin delivering. With regards to cultural heritage you will need to take into account the requirement for cultural observers to be onsite at any time excavation is to occur and allow time for them to inspect the excavated material for artifacts**

8. The cross sections for CH. 39380.00 to CH. 40100.00 do not show any existing surface (Drawings XS-14 to XS-21). Could the Council provide the drawings that shows the existing surface on the cross sections?
This has been noted and will be corrected in the final version. The Pricing Schedule captures the extent of excavation and fill required atop the existing surface.
9. If the digital files/3D Models are available for the project, could Council please issue the relevant digital files/3D Models.
Unfortunately, the design is currently only preliminary so is unable to be provided due to the contractor's quality control.
10. Item Heading Road Furniture in pricing schedule indicates the line items 6101, 6102 & 6103 to be priced as per MRTS 14.1 which has not been provided with the tender documents. Could the council please issue the relevant files.
The relevant items for road furniture are in 50000 series so might need to confirm the tenderer has correct Pricing Schedule. MRTS14.1 attached.
11. The Works Under the Contract (WUC) are located between Chainages 37920 and 40100 on Theodore Moura Road, south of Moura, within the boundaries of Banana Shire Council. Works include the widening of the road surface from an existing 5m to an 8m sealed width (including 0.75m wide sealed shoulders) and the cement stabilisation of the road formation. However there is no pay item for this work in the Pricing Schedule document, and it is not clear from the drawing and RFT document the whole extents of the proposed stabilisation. Can Council please clarify whether the stabilisation works are required, and if yes, please provide the details and full extents of those works and appropriate pay item/s. **This item has been included in the revised Pricing Schedule**
12. Drawing RO12-23-24-TC-01 Typical section shows 250mm thickness layer of SUBBASE TYPE 2.3. While the Schedule Of Rates document indicates 150mm of the same layer for payment. Can Council please clarify the correct pavement layer thickness?
The drawing is correct and the subbase thickness should be 250mm. This will be updated in the Revised Pricing Schedule.